

# Ma 117b Homework #2

Due Tuesday, February 4th at 1:00pm

1. (20 points) Prove the following facts from the notes. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have:
  - i)  $\Delta_n^0$  does not have the enumeration property,
  - ii)  $\Sigma_n^0$  and  $\Pi_n^0$  are not closed under complements,
  - iii)  $\Sigma_n^0$  is not closed under  $\forall$  quantification, and  $\Pi_n^0$  is not closed under  $\exists$  quantification,
  - iv)  $\Sigma_n^0 \cup \Pi_n^0 \subsetneq \Delta_{n+1}^0$  and  $\Delta_n^0 \subsetneq \Sigma_n^0, \Pi_n^0$ .
2. (30 points) Let  $\{\varphi_e\}$  be an acceptable, effective enumeration of the partial recursive functions. Show that the set  $\{e : \varphi_e \equiv 0\}$  is complete  $\Pi_2^0$ .
3. (15 points) Consider the structure of arithmetic  $\mathcal{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}, <, +, \cdot, S, 0 \rangle$ 
  - a) Translate into the language of arithmetic the following sentences or expressions in English:
    - (i) Every nonzero natural number is the successor of some natural number.
    - (ii)  $x$  is divisible by  $y$ .
    - (iii) 5 is a prime number.
    - (iv) Every natural number is the sum of four squares (of natural numbers).
    - (v) The product of any two consecutive natural numbers is even.
    - (vi)  $x$  and  $y$  are prime to each other.
    - (vii) For all  $x, y, z$  if  $x$  divides  $y \cdot z$  and  $x$  is prime to  $y$ , then  $x$  divides  $z$ .
  - b) Translate into English the following:
    - (i)  $\neg \forall x \exists y (y^2 = x)$
    - (ii)  $\forall x [\exists y (x = 2 \cdot y) \Rightarrow \exists y (x^2 = 4 \cdot y)]$
    - (iii)  $\forall x \exists y [x < y \wedge y \neq 1 \wedge \neg \exists z \exists w (z \cdot w = y \wedge z < y \wedge w < y)]$
    - (iv)  $\forall x \forall y [x < y \Rightarrow \exists z (z \neq 0 \wedge x + z = y)]$
    - (v)  $\forall x \forall y [(\exists z (x = 2 \cdot z) \wedge \exists w (y = 2w)) \Rightarrow \exists u (x + y = 2 \cdot u)]$
4. (15 points) Find the free and bound variables in the formulas below:
  - i)  $\forall x \exists y P(y, x)$
  - ii)  $\forall y [R(x, y) \Rightarrow \exists x Q(x)]$
  - iii)  $R(x, a) \vee R(y, b)$
  - iv)  $\forall x R(x, y) \vee \exists y [R(x, y) \wedge Q(y)]$
5. (15 points) In which of the following formulas is there a free occurrence of  $x$ ?
  - i)  $\forall x R(x)$ ,
  - ii)  $\exists y \forall x P(y, z)$ ,
  - iii)  $\forall x [P(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall y Q(x)]$ ,
  - ii)  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall y (Q(y) \Rightarrow P(x, x))$
6. (25 points)
  - a) Prove the following formulas are not logically valid:
    - i)  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x))$
    - ii)  $(\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
    - iii)  $(\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow \forall x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \forall x (P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x))$

- iv)  $(P(x) \Leftrightarrow Q(x)) \Leftrightarrow (x = y)$
  - b) Does the sentence  $\forall x \forall y \forall z [(R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z)) \Rightarrow R(x, z)]$  logically imply the sentence  $\forall x \forall y [R(x, y) \vee R(y, x)]$ ?
7. (15 points)
- a) Consider the first order language  $L_0 = \emptyset$  with no nonlogical symbols. Its structures consist only of nonempty sets  $A$ , i.e., they have the form  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A \rangle$ .
    - i) If  $\langle A \rangle \models \forall x \forall y (x = y)$ , what can you say about  $A$ ?
    - ii) If  $\langle A \rangle \models \exists x \exists y (x \neq y)$ , what can you say about  $A$ ?
    - iii) If  $\langle A \rangle \models \exists x \exists y \exists z [x \neq y \wedge y \neq z \wedge x \neq z \wedge \forall w (w = x \vee w = y \vee w = z)]$ , what can you say about  $A$ ?
  - b) Consider the first order language whose only nonlogical symbol is the binary relation symbol  $R$ . Its structures are of the form  $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, R^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$ , where  $R^{\mathcal{A}}$  is a binary relation on  $A$ . For simplicity, we will just write  $\langle A, R \rangle$ .
    - i) Write a sentence  $\sigma$  of this language such that  $\langle A, R \rangle \models \sigma$  iff  $R = A \times A$ .
    - ii) Write a sentence  $\tau$  of this language such that  $\langle A, R \rangle \models \tau$  iff  $R = \emptyset$ .
    - iii) Write a sentence  $\pi$  of this language such that  $\langle A, R \rangle \models \pi$  iff  $R$  contains exactly one pair.